

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/940,709	08/28/2001	Michael K. Gschwind	YOR9-2001-0602 (8728-546)		
22150	7590 05/13/2005	EXAMINER		INER	
F. CHAU & ASSOCIATES, LLC			CHOI, WOO H		
WOODBURY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2189		
			DATE MAILED: 05/13/2003	DATE MAILED: 05/13/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u></u>							
[Application No.	Applicant(s)					
055-1 4-45-1 0	09/940,709	GSCHWIND ET	GSCHWIND ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Woo H. Choi	2189					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover s	heet with the correspondence a	ddress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by strong the period for reply will be period for	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however reply within the statutory mining iod will apply and will expire SI atute, cause the application to be	er, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered tim X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	5 January 2005.						
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ 1							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 10-37</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirem	ent.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ign priority under 35 L	l.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	ist of the certified cop	es not received.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 In	erview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) De Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	per No(s)/Mail Date	O 152)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date		otice of Informal Patent Application (PT her:	0-192)				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office							
PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail [Date 20050511				

Art Unit: 2189

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1 8, 10 20, 22, 23, 25 34, and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kumar (US Patent No. 6,678,790).
- 3. With respect to claims 1, 2, 22, 26, 29, 30 and 33, Kumar discloses a data storage system (figure 1), comprising:

at least one microprocessor (figure 1a, 26); and

a configurable memory (12), integrated with the at least one processor, for servicing the at least one microprocessor in a first mode of operation that emulates a local, non-cache memory and a second mode of operation that emulates a cache (abstract), wherein the configurable memory comprises a memory portion for storing tag bits (figure 2, 50) and data bits in a single data line (col. 3, lines 32 - 33) in the memory portion (figure 1, 12), in the second mode of operation, and

Application/Control Number: 09/940,709

Art Unit: 2189

Tit Omt. 2107

wherein a selection of any of the first mode of operation and the second mode of operation is capable of being overridden by another selection of an other of the first mode of operation and the second mode of operation (col. 2, lines 47 - 51).

Page 3

- 4. With respect to claim 3, wherein the configurable memory is capable of having either the first mode of operation or the second mode of operation selected at a burn-in time (mode selection is under software control, making the mode selection possible anytime while the system is up and running, including "a burn-in time", i.e. a period of initial operation of a new device).
- 5. With respect to claim 4, the configurable memory is capable of having either the first mode of operation or the second mode of operation selected at a power-up time (col. 2, lines 51 55).
- 6. With respect to claim 5, the first mode of operation or the second mode of operation is selected at the power-up time using an external signal (col. 2, lines 51 55).
- 7. With respect to claim 6, the configurable memory is capable of having either the first mode of operation or the second mode of operation selected during a program execution (col. 2, lines 47 48).

Application/Control Number: 09/940,709

Art Unit: 2189

8. With respect to claim 7, the first mode of operation or the second mode of operation is selected during the program execution based upon a value of a special configuration register (col. 2, lines 47 – 48).

Page 4

- 9. With respect to claim 8, the first mode of operation or the second mode of operation is selected during the program execution based upon a value of an external signal (col. 2, lines 48 51, control register is loaded by the CPU which is external to the memory).
- 10. With respect to claims 10 14, the configurable memory is **capable** of having either the first mode of operation or the second mode of operation selected based upon a result of comparing a supplied address to a range of addresses. (the claim only require a capability but not actual mode setting based on the addresses, this only requires that the structure can switch modes and can compare addresses, both of which are taught by Kumar),

Dependent claims 11 - 14 relate to the capability discussed above.

11. With respect to claims 15 and 23, the configurable memory comprises:

a memory array (figure 2, 52); and

memory configuration logic for selecting the first mode of operation or the second mode of operation (figure 1, 16, figure 2, 58).

12. With respect to claim 16, the configurable memory is capable of selecting one of a local memory read mode and a local memory write mode in the first mode of operation and is further

capable of selecting one of a cache read mode and a cache write mode in the second mode of operation (read mode, i.e. mode of operation while reading, and write mode, i.e. mode of operation while writing, are inherent in this type of memory, either in cache mode or local memory mode).

- 13. With respect to claim 17, the selection may be overridden by the other selection dynamically (col. 2, lines 47 51).
- 14. With respect to claim 18, the configurable memory comprises a plurality of static random access memory cells (col. 3, lines 34 35).
- 15. With respect to claim 19, the configurable memory comprises a plurality of dynamic random access memory cells (col. 3, lines 34 35).
- 16. With respect to claim 20, the configurable memory is capable of being dynamically employed as a sole memory (abstract, main memory) serving the processor and as a portion of a larger, memory hierarchy (abstract, cache, see also col. 1, lines 18 24, cache is a portion of a larger memory hierarchy that includes a cache memory and a main memory).
- 17. With respect to claim 25, the memory system further comprises:

tag match logic for determining a match between the stored tag bits and bits corresponding to a memory access (figure 12, 80, 82); and

Art Unit: 2189

at least one multiplexer (44) for selecting and outputting data corresponding to the memory access, when the match is determined.

- 18. With respect to claims 27, 28, 31, 32, and 34, the at least one microprocessor and the configurable memory array are integrated on a single chip/package (figure 1a, see also col. 2, lines 33 35).
- 19. With respect to claim 37, said integrating step integrates the at least one microprocessor (figure 1b, 26) with the configurable memory based upon a multi-chip (11 and 13) module.
- 20. Claims 1, 10 14 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Baltz (US Patent No. 6,321,318).
- 21. With respect to claims 1 and 10 14, Baltz discloses a memory system on a chip (abstract), comprising:

a configurable memory having a first mode of operation wherein the configurable memory is configured as a cache and a second mode of operation wherein the configurable memory is configured as a local, non-cache memory (abstract), wherein the configurable memory comprises a memory portion (figure 9, 30, more specifically, 31 and 32) for storing tag bits and data bits in a single data line in the memory portion, in the first mode of operation, wherein the configurable memory is **capable** of having either the first mode of operation or the second mode of operation selected based upon a result of comparing a supplied address to a

Art Unit: 2189

range of addresses. (the claim only require a capability but not actual mode setting based on the addresses, this only requires that the structure can switch modes and can compare addresses, both of which are taught by Baltz, additionally, see col. 2, lines 38 – 46).

Dependent claims 11 - 14 relate to the capability discussed above.

22. With respect to claim 21, Baltz discloses that the first mode of operation and the second mode of operation are employed concurrently (col. 9, lines 9 - 10).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 23. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 24. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kumar in view of Sample *et al.* (US Patent No. 6,377,912, hereinafter "Sample"), or in the alternative, in view of Natarajan (US Patent No. 6,611,796).

Kumar discloses all of the limitations of the parent claim as discussed above. However, Kumar does not specifically disclose macro cells to implement memory system. On the other hand, Sample (col. 29, lines 11 - 17, col. 31, lines 27 - 33) and Natarajan (col. 4, lines 16 - 23) disclose the use of macro cells in IC memory chip designs.

Art Unit: 2189

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Kumar and Sample or Natarajan before him at the time the invention was made, to use the design techniques using macros teachings of Sample or Natarajan in the design of Kumar's system, in order to be able to verify electronic circuit designs before fabrication (Sample 16 - 18, Natarajan 23 - 26).

25. Claims 35 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kumar in view of Isaak (US Patent No. 6,426,549).

Kumar discloses all of the limitation of the parent claim as discussed above. However, Kumar does not specifically disclose methods of integrating the claimed memory package using a chip stack and a flip chip techniques. On the other hand, Issak discloses both of these techniques (abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Kumar and Isaak before him at the time the invention was made, to use the IC packaging teachings of Isaak to make the configurable memory IC of Kumar, in order to be able to actually produce the memory devices. Isaak's method uses available materials and known process techniques and is suitable for automated production methods (col. 3, lines 49 – 53).

Art Unit: 2189

Allowable Subject Matter

26. Claim 9 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments regarding the "single data line in the memory portion" have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. First of all, amending "single logical line" to "single data line" has no effect on patentability as they are both based on the same sentence in the specification that states "The configurable RAM array has a memory portion for storing tag bits and data bits in a single logical line in the second mode of operation." Secondly, the claimed "memory portion" has no claimed features that are not patentably distinct from the "memory portion" that include both tag and data memories of Kumar's or Baltz's disclosures.

Conclusion

28. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Woo H. Choi whose telephone number is (571) 272-4179. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matt Kim can be reached on (571) 272-4182. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/940,709

Art Unit: 2189

Page 10

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Woo H. Choi

May 11, 2005